# Training activities for review experts under the Convention and the Kyoto Protocol in 2012 and future needs for updating the training programmes *Bonn, Germany, 18-21 March, 2013*

Javier Hanna, Kyoko Miwa, Haike Stephen UNFCCC Secretariat



# **Current UNFCCC training programmes**

Updated training programme for greenhouse gas inventory review experts for the technical review of greenhouse gas inventories from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

– Decision 10/CP.15

Updated training programme for members of expert review teams participating in annual reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol

– Decision 8/CMP.5



# Existing training courses and modules - Overview -

### **Basic course for the review of GHG inventories of Annex I Parties**

- Overview of UNFCCC review guidelines and procedures and general IPCC inventory guidance
- Modules on the review of IPCC sectors

On-line instructed and non instructed

Training seminar with final exams

### **Optional courses**

- Improving communication and facilitating consensus in ERTs
- Review of complex models and higher tier methods

Online only

### **Courses for reviews under Article 8 of the KP**

- National Systems
- Application of adjustments
- Modalities for the accounting of assigned amounts under Article 7.4 optional
- Review of national registries and information on assigned amounts
- Review of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Kyoto Protocol Online only with exams

# Summary of training activities in 2012 - 1 -

Basic course		Registered		Exam passed		Pass rate	
Course		AI	non-Al	AI	non-Al	AI	non-Al
Instructed	Sub-total	19	35	18	19	95%	54%
	seminar – 1	14	18	13	10	93%	56%
	seminar – 2	5	17	5	9	100%	53%
Non- instructed	Sub-total	8(26)	4(10)	7	3	88%	75%
Total		17(45)	39(45)	25	22		

- > 2 basic course training seminars: April and October, in Bonn 54 participants.
  - Regional seminar: planned to be held in Latin America with a focus of increasing the number of non-AI experts, in particular for this region. Due to some insuperable difficulties, the training seminar was held in Bonn.
- 250 invitations sent to the Parties and experts who previously were nominated by their governments to the Roster of Experts.
- Priority is given to the Parties that do not have experts participating in reviews yet.
- Demand is always high; experts are on the waiting list.



# Summary of training activities in 2012 - 2 -

Kyoto Protocol courses	Annex I	Non-Annex I	Total	
Participants	31	30	61	
Passed the required exams in 2012	24	10	34	
> 84 new experts from 2011-2012 training activities are expected to take KP				

84 new experts from 2011-2012 training activities are expected to take KP courses starting from 6 May 2013.

### **Optional courses**

- Improving communications and facilitating consensus
- Review of Complex Models and Higher Tier Methods
  - So far, about 120 experts registered. 20 experts have passed the exams (out of 29 experts who took the exams).

### **Refresher Seminar**

- Good practice approaches to inventory issues identified during the review process.
- back to back the 9<sup>th</sup> Lead Reviewers Meeting, on 27 March 2012.
- Attended by 42 experts.



### **Training activities in 2013**

Basic course for the Convention Review

- Now under-going (4 March 15 April)
- 39 experts are studying the general overview in the past two weeks and now starting the sectoral modules.
- o Hands-on training seminar: 16-18 April

#### Kyoto Protocol inventory review

- immediately after the completion of the Basic Course (6 May – 16 June)
- o Final exams: 17-19 June

 If resource will permit, regional training seminar for the Basic Course can be held sometime in September
 November



### Survey on participants of the basic course - 1 -

Questionnaires to all training seminar participants of the latest 3 years(159) – 69 replies Purpose: to analyze needs for the training curriculum and modules by understand the profiles of participants

- Experts with the experience in UNFCCC reporting of national GHG inventories before taking the basic course: 80%
- All consider the training was helpful because,

#### On-line courses are:

- Well-structured for learning step by step essential points:
- o help understanding the whole picture of the review processes:
- help improve/refresh technical knowledge on inventories, how to use
  1996 IPCC GLs and IPCC GPG, the UNFCCC reporting requirements:
- for non-Annex I experts, important to learning how to assess inventories of Annex I Parties.

#### The training seminar is good opportunity to:

- o have advice from experienced reviewers and participants from other Parties:
- practice by the simulation of the review including the review report drafting. <u>Many participants in the survey mentioned that interaction among participants</u> <u>during the training was inspiring, and give motivation to study more</u> <u>to improve their own inventory at home</u>.

# Survey on participants of the basic course - 2 -

Profile of responded experts- experience of UNFCCC reporting and their expertise				
	Expertise/experience	Before training	Currently	
GHG inventory	UNFCCC reporting	80%		
	Technical work	-	58%	
	Coordination/administration only	-	10%	
	Other *	-	9%	
	Not working in inventories	-	23%	
NC	Inventory only or		32%	
	Inventory + admin/coordination	-		
	PAMs and other areas *	-	12%	
	Coordination/administration only	-	4%	

Other and other areas include: 1) Mitigation strategy/policy development, 2) Technical tasks, such. Preparation of energy-balances, identification of emission sources, QA/QC of inventories, 3) CDM, Capacity-building, etc.

Participants consider necessary one-week study to prepare for a seminar + exams;
 Important to have support from supervisors at home;

• This is particularly important for non-Annex I experts (passing rate around 55%).



# Survey on participants of the basic course - 3 -

- All expressed their willingness to participate in reviews. However for some experts, impediments are;
  - Funding issue for Annex I and NGO experts
  - o Busy with other assignments at home, or their inventories and NC preparations
  - o Language

#### For further consideration

- Since 2003 with the exception of 2007-2008, the training programmes supplied new review experts continuously.
- Currently, relative participation of experts from EIT Parties is increasing while participation of experts from other Annex I Parties is decreasing.
- Geographical representation needs to improve.
- Funding becomes an issue for Annex I and NGOs experts.
- More non-Annex I Party experts
  need to take the courses and
  pass the exams.

Composition of the ERT		IR ERT in 2007	ERT in 2012	
Experts	AI not include EIT	50%	45%	
	EIT	12%	18%	
	Non-Al	38%	38%	
Parties	AI	35%	34%	
	EIT	17%	21 %	
	Non-Al	48%	45%	
			9	



# Training needs for experts to meet new requirements - 1 -

### Implementation of revised UNFCCC reporting and review guidelines

<u>Revised UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines</u>, adopted in 2011 including revised CRF tables – to be used from 2015 submission (15/CP.17)

 The 1996 IPCC GLs & IPCC GPG will be replaced with 2006 IPCC Guidelines from the reporting of inventory year 2013

<u>IAR process</u>: the revision of review guidelines for National Communications, Biennial Reports, including GHG inventories, is under way. (2/CP.17)

### KP2 inventory reporting

- Undergoing negotiations on methodological issues related to 5, 7 and 8 of the KP;
- New rules for LULUCF under Article 3.3 and 3.4 of the KP.
- o Inventory reporting under the second commitment period of the KP starting in 2015



### Training needs for experts to meet new requirements - 2 -

#### Implementation of the use of 2006 IPCC Guidelines for the reporting in 2015 onwards

- ERT needs to be ready for the technical reviews of GHG inventories those are developed using the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, in accordance with the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines.
- Existing courses are based on 1996 IPCC Guidelines and IPCC GPGs.

Examples of changes and challenges for the ERT:

- New methodologies and default EFs, including more options for tier 3 methods:
- New categories, e.g. new process emissions, biological treatment of waste:
- Differences in the UNFCCC reporting requirements and IPCC sector structure:
  o AFOLU <-> LULUCF + Agriculture for the UNFCCC reporting
  - Mapping some categories/emissions, and allocation issues
- Feedstock and NEU in Energy and IPPU, CCS, no potential emissions of Fgases, etc.:
- > Other changes including GWPs in AR4, NF<sub>3</sub>, in the reporting Guidelines:
- Future decision on appendices of 2006 GLs e.g. supplement on wetlands.

# Training needs for experts to meet new requirements - 3 -

- Need to maintain high level competence of the ERTs:
  - Necessary to uphold the technical skills and competence of inventory practitioners newly joining the ERTs from various Parties, in particular involving more experts from non-Annex I Parties:
- Need to incorporate the latest experiences of the review process into the training materials:
- Need to hand over the philosophy and scientific reasons behind the methodologies from methodology developers to the next generation of experts.

#### % of review experts involved in the development of the IPCC methodologies

Roles	IR ERT in 2007	ERT in 2012
2006 IPCC GLs/GPG/supplements: Authors and review editors	41%	20%
2006 IPCC GL/GPG: government and expert reviewers	18%	10%



Lead reviewers could conclude on the need of updating and complementing the training programme for reviews of GHG inventories.



# Thank you very much!

### For questions, please contact: GHGtraining@unfccc.int

http://unfccc.int/national\_reports/annex\_i\_ghg\_inventories/inv entory\_review\_training/items/2763.php

