June 28, 2013, Tsukuba

Satellite remote sensing of aerosols – Past, present and future

Terry Nakajima Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo teruyuki.nakajima@aori.u-tokyo.ac.jp





- Emission sources?
- Gas to particle conversion?
- Particle size (r) ? Size distribution ? n(r)
- Shape?
- Mixing state (internal, external, heterogeneous...)?
- Stratification?
 - Scattering cross section (C_s) ?, absorption cross section (C_a) ?
- Extinction cross section? $(C_e = C_s + C_a)$
- Optical thickness: AOT= $\Sigma C_e(z) \Delta z$?
- Single scattering albedo (SSA, ω)= Cs/Ce ?
- Wavelength dependence?

Angular coress section of aerosols

 $sP(\Theta)Ldx$

- Grams et al. (JAM 74): Polar nephelometer
- Tanaka, Takamura, Nakajima (JCAM 83)
- Large absorption by aerosols
- Rejected by JAS







Sun and sky photometry

CiMel sun/sky photometer with Brent Holben in 1993



• SW sun&sky photometry: Smithonian **Institute ('23-'52)** (Roosen & Angione, BAMS'84)



• Sunphotometer: Ångström (Tellus'61), Voltz (AO'74)

1980s

- Spectral sun&sky: Nakajima et al. (AO'83), Nakajima, Tonna, Rao, Kaufman, Holben (AO'96)
- Aeronet: Holben et al. (Atmos. Envion 98)
- Dubovik and King (JGR 00); Dubovik et al. (JAS 02)
- Hashimoto, Nakajima and Dubovik (AMT'12)





Sky-sunphotometry measurement: Optical properties of various aerosol types

- Dubovik and King (JGR 00)
- Dubovik et al. (JAS 02)

A man from Minsk to NIES and GSFC in IRS2000





New BC and BrC DRF estimates

 $0.75(0.5 \sim 1.0)$

Chuan et al., PNAS 12) Bond et al. (JGR 13)

Table 2. Empirical estimates of global average annual mean optical depuis and bite							
	CA	BC	OM	BrC			
Absorption optical depth (550 nm)	0.0095 (0.008 ~ 0.01)	0.0077 (0.006 ~ 0.009)	0.0018 (0.001 ~ 0.003)	0.0018 (0.001 ~ 0.003)			
Optical depth (550 nm)	0.022 (0.015 ~ 0.03)	0.0095 (0.007 ~ 0.015)	0.012 (0.007 ~ 0.02)				

 $0.75(0.6 \sim 0.9)$

Table 2 Empirical estimates of global average annual mean ontical denths and DRE

TOA clear-sky DRE $0.6 (0.4 \sim 0.8)$ $0.7 (0.6 \sim 0.8)$ $-0.1 (-0.3 \sim +0.1)$ Atmosphere DRE $3.8 (3.3 \sim 4.3)$ $2.75 (2.3 \sim 3.2)$ $1.1 (0.8 \sim 1.4)$ Surface DRE $-3.05 (-2.7 \sim -3.6)$ $-2.0 (-2.3 \sim -1.7)$ $-1.1 (-1.50 \sim -0.75)$ The baseline value (for optical depth) or central value (for forcing) is shown along with the range stemming from parameter uncertainties and observational errors (Tables S3 and S4 and S1 Text, Uncertainty of Our Global Estimates). The global average AOD and AAOD are 0.153 and 0.0104,

respectively. Chuan et al., PNAS'12)



TOA DRE (Wm⁻²)

- AERONET data used
- Large absorption (DRE~+0.7 Wm⁻²) by BC and brown aerosols
- AAOD= (1-SSA)*AOD



 $0.0(-0.2 \sim +0.2)$

IPCC-AR4 (2007)

Passive aerosol remote sensing



Aerosol from high spatial resolution 1km in 380nm channel



Biomass burning in Asia captured by ADEOS2/GLI



\sum			
Red	13	0.678µm	
Green	8	0.545µm	
Blue	5	0.460µm	



Red	13	0.678µm
Green	8	0.545 <i>µ</i> m
Blue	1	0.380 <i>µ</i> m







Cloud and Aerosol Imager (CAI) 380, 670, 860, 1600nm FOV 500m 750m Push-broom imager, Cheap!



Various remote sensing methods for aerosols Sept. 2009

GOSAT/CAI (380nm, 1km)

MODIS Dark Target



MODIS Deep Blue

CALIPSO (lidar)





Four channel method (ocean)

Dust optical properties by Neutral (critical) reflectance method of Kaufman (JGR 87) extended by Yoshida et al. (ACPD 12)



- 9 year mean (2003-2011), OMI prescreen
- Lower SSA in Asia: Dust and soot mixed
- SSA related with land albedo



TOA SW DRF for total aerosol from CALIOP

- COT from MODIS; aerosol and cloud layering from CALIPSO
- Good agreement between satellite and mode; but large uncertainty in layer classifications
- Large uncertainty in model values



CALIOP aerosol optical models (Omar et al., JAS 09)

parameters	Desert dust	Smoke	Backgroun	Polluted	Marine	Polluted
@532nm			d	continental		dust
alfa	0.940	1.408	0.276	1.604	-0.137	1.154
ssa	0.919	0.833	0.904	0.935	0.986	0.851
sratio	42.3	74.9	38.2	69.2	23.6	62.0





 $ARF_{total, direct} = -0.61 Wm^{-2} : CALIPSO -0.58 Wm^{-2} : SPRINTARS$

- Underestimation of SSA for dust?
- Large uncertainty in polluted dust SSA (Chinese region)
- No validation of SSA over ocean (SODA, POLDER)
 - → Our study
 → Kaufm an etal.
 → Carlson and Benjam in → D'A meida
 → W M O

Yoshida&Murakami, AO08

For separation of coarse and fine particles

• Use of TIR spectral region for coarse particle detection



Courtesy: Hyojin Han & B.J. Sohn (2013)







Conclusions

- Awareness of absorbing aerosols for environmental and climate issues
- Man-made and dust aerosol mixture
- Information from NUV to TIR wavelength / multi-view and polarization useful
- Combined active/passive remote sensing for aerosol and cloud interaction
- Observation and modeling synergy in progress